1987) as in the (EDTTTF) $X$ salts and the superconducting (ET) $)_{2} X$ salts (Williams et al., 1987). These crystals show metallic behavior down to 125 K at ambient pressure (Terzis et al., 1988) and the metallic behavior is extended down to 30 K at 0.48 GPa pressure (Hilti et al., 1989).
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#### Abstract

I) $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{MgO}_{12} \mathrm{~S}_{2},\left[\mathrm{Mg}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right]$, $M_{r}=318 \cdot 55$, monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c, a=10 \cdot 658$ (2), $b=$ 7.717 (1), $\quad c=21.449$ (3) $\AA, \quad \beta=92.02(2)^{\circ}, \quad V=$ $1763 \AA^{3}, \quad Z=6, \quad D_{x}=1.800 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \quad$ Мо $K \alpha$ (graphite-monochromated) $, \quad \lambda=0.70926 \AA, \quad \mu=$ $5.56 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, F(000)=996, T=295 \mathrm{~K}, 3102$ unique reflections, 2382 with $I>3 \sigma(I)$, final $R=0.039$ for 2364 reflections. (II) $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{AlO}_{18} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$, $\left[\mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+} .3 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}^{-}, \quad M_{r}=468 \cdot 34$, monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n, \quad a=10.821(1), \quad b=22.503(4), \quad c=$ 14.439 (3) $\AA, \beta=91.58(2)^{\circ}, V=3514 \AA^{3}, Z=8, D_{x}$ $=1.770 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \quad \lambda($ Mo K $\alpha)=0.70926 \AA, \quad \mu=$ $5.54 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, F(000)=1952, T=295 \mathrm{~K}, 4850$ unique reflections, 1170 with $I>2 \sigma(I)$, final $R=0.080$. The structures are similar in that they contain water coordinated to the metal, but they are distinctly different from the corresponding hydroxymethanesulfonate salts of the remaining Group I and Group II metals which contain no coordinated water.


Introduction. The structures of the hydroxymethanesulfonate salts of the cations $\mathrm{Li}^{+}, \mathrm{Na}^{+}, \mathrm{K}^{+}, \mathrm{Rb}^{+}$, $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}, \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}, \mathrm{Sr}^{2+}, \mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$have recently been
determined (Owen, 1982). In order to complete this series of Group I and Group II salts, the structure of the $\mathrm{Mg}^{\mathrm{II}}$ salt has now been determined. In addition, the structure of the $\mathrm{Al}^{111}$ salt has also been determined for comparison. There have been only a few reports of crystal-structure determinations of organometallic compounds that contain both aluminium and oxygen and which involve relatively simple organic ligands (Hon \& Pfluger, 1973; McClelland, 1975; Taylor, 1978; Boardman, Small \& Worall, 1983; Simonsen \& Bechtel, 1980; Bulc, Golic \& Siftar, 1976, 1982; Mooy, Krieger, Heijdenrijk \& Stam, 1974). All of these reported compounds have the organic ligand hexacoordinated to $\mathrm{Al}^{\text {III }}$ and were similar to the expected compounds of $\mathrm{Cr}^{1 I I}$ and $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}$. In the hydroxymethanesulfonate salt of $\mathrm{Al}^{111}$, however, the organic ligand is not coordinated to the metal.

Experimental. A crystalline sample of the $\mathrm{Mg}^{\mathrm{II}}$ salt (I) was prepared by routine methods, but the preparation of the $\mathrm{Al}^{\text {III }}$ salt (II) proved more difficult. A single large crystal $(0.5 \times 0.5 \times 1.5 \mathrm{~cm})$ of the
© 1990 International Union of Crystallography
aluminium salt was eventually prepared by bubbling $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ into an aqueous solution of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. This solution was warmed to drive off excess $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ and then aged $\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ was stirred into it until no more would dissolve. The product was a white powder, soluble in water. Multiple recrystallizations, in a desiccator, of solutions of the powder produced one crystal on one occasion only. Though there were many subsequent attempts to repeat the recrystallization, none were successful even when seeded with a fragment of the one crystal.

For both salts, Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer, lattice parameters from 25 reflections with $\theta=$ $10-14^{\circ} . \omega-2 \theta$ scans, $\omega$-scan width $(1 \cdot 0+0 \cdot 35 \tan \theta)^{\circ}$ at $1 \cdot 0-3 \cdot 3^{\circ} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$, extended $25 \%$ on each side for background measurement, three standard reflections showed negligible variation in intensity, intensities reduced to a standard scale (Cameron \& Cordes, 1979), Lp corrections applied, no corrections for absorption. The structures were solved by Patterson methods from which the S - and metal-atom positions were obtained. The remaining non-H atoms were located from subsequent difference-Fourier syntheses. Scattering factors for neutral atoms were those recorded in International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974) and were corrected for the real part of anomalous dispersion. Computer programs used were SHELX76 (Sheldrick, 1976) for (I), CRYSTALS (Carruthers \& Watkin, 1979) for (II) and CHEMGRAF (Davies, 1983).
(I): Crystal dimensions $0.20 \times 0.25 \times 0.30 \mathrm{~mm}$, intensities for $\theta<23^{\circ} ; h k l$ : -12 to 12,0 to 9,0 to 25 ; 3654 reflections measured, 3102 unique ( $R_{\text {int }}=0.036$ ) and 2382 considered observed with $I>3 \sigma(I)$. All H -atom positions were determined from a differenceFourier synthesis and allowed to refine. The H-atom positions on two of the water molecules were constrained to prevent unreasonable geometry. Anisotropic refinement (on $F$ ) of the non-H atoms and isotropic refinement of the H atoms employed a 3-block-matrix least-squares method, which minimized $\quad \sum w\left(\left|F_{o}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2} \quad$ where $\quad w=\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}\right)+\right.$ $\left.0.019\left|F_{o}\right|^{2}\right]^{-1}$. The refinement converged at $R=$ $0.039, w R=0.040$ for 2364 observed reflections, 237 parameters, 18 reflections omitted because of suspected extinction, $(\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {max }}=0.6$, max. and $\min$. heights in the final difference-Fourier map $=0.71$ and $-0.73 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$, no correction for extinction.
(II): Crystal diffracts poorly, large cube (edge $\sim 0.35 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) was cut from the one original crystal (see above). Care was taken to ensure that the large crystal was completely bathed in the X-ray beam. The analysis of the original data lasted many months. When the structure had been solved, an attempt was made to secure additional data, but although the crystal did not decompose noticeably during the data collection, it was found that, in the
interval, both the crystal fragment that had been irradiated and the remnant of the original crystal had turned to powder. All efforts to prepare additional crystals of the compound have been unsuccessful with powders resulting from every attempt.
Intensities for $\theta<23^{\circ} ; h k l:-11$ to 11,0 to 24,0 to 15 ; 5651 reflections measured, 4850 unique ( $R_{\mathrm{int}}=$ $0 \cdot 066)$ and 1170 considered observed with $I>2 \sigma(I)$. Only the methylene and hydroxyl H -atom positions could be determined from a difference-Fourier synthesis. These atoms were placed and only allowed to ride on the atoms to which they were bonded. It was not possible to locate any of the H atoms belonging to the water molecules, probably the result of a paucity of observed data. Only $24 \%$ of the reflections had $I>2 \sigma(I), 88 \%$ for the magnesium compound. Anisotropic refinement of the non-H atoms and refinement of individual isotropic temperature factors on the H atoms used a 3-block-matrix leastsquares method and converged at $R=0.080, w R=$ 0.083 , weight $=\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}\right)\right]^{-1}$, for 1170 unique observed reflections, 490 parameters; $(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0 \cdot 2$; max. peak height in the final difference-Fourier map $=$ $0.84 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$, no correction for extinction. The same atomic positions gave $R=0.099$ for 1739 reflections with $I>\sigma(I)$. The final $R$ value is high; this is probably caused in part by the poor quality of the data ( $R_{\text {int }}=0.066$ ) and also by the fact that the water H atoms ( $4.9 \%$ of total scattering material) have not been located or taken into account. The parameters quoted for this compound must therefore be treated with caution. The results are included for completeness to record, at least, the gross structural details of the compound and the ligand environment of the $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$.

Discussion. Atomic positional parameters are listed in Table 1 for (I) and Table 2 for (II). Selected bond lengths for both complexes are given in Table 3.* The magnesium salt contains one and a half unique molecular units, with one of the Mg atoms at a centre of inversion, which gives the formula $\left[\mathrm{Mg}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{HOCH}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$. Four water molecules and an O atom from each of the $-\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ groups form a regular octahedron about the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$. The $\mathbf{M g}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths (Table 2) are similar for all ligands. The molecules making up the asymmetric unit are shown in Fig. 1. There is an extensive hydrogen-bonding network linking the molecules. Table 4 lists the $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ contacts. With the exception of the O atoms bonding the sulfonate ligands to Mg , all O atoms in the salt

[^0]Table 1. Atomic positional parameters and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters ( $\AA^{2}$ ) for (I) with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

|  | $U_{\text {eq }}=\left(U_{1} U_{2} U_{3}\right)^{1 / 3}$. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {eq }}$ |
| $\mathbf{M g}(1)$ | $0 \cdot 17298$ (6) | $0 \cdot 51563$ (10) | 0.33551 (3) | 0.0212 |
| $\mathbf{M g}(2)$ | 0.5 | $0 \cdot 0$ | 0.5 | 0.0208 |
| $\mathrm{O}(11)$ | 0.0255 (2) | 0.5594 (3) | 0.27462 (8) | 0.0355 |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)$ | 0.3200 (1) | 0.4818 (2) | 0.4026 (1) | 0.0418 |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)$ | 0.2349 (2) | $0 \cdot 3088$ (2) | 0.2838 (1) | 0.0380 |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)$ | $0 \cdot 1181$ (2) | 0.7254 (2) | 0.38614 (9) | 0.0342 |
| $\mathrm{O}(15)$ | 0.5478 (2) | 0.2487 (2) | 0.4693 (1) | 0.0424 |
| $\mathrm{O}(16)$ | 0.3589 (2) | -0.0074 (3) | 0.43273 (8) | 0.0384 |
| S(1) | $0 \cdot 30229$ (5) | 0.83457 (6) | 0.25613 (3) | 0.0196 |
| O(21) | 0.2893 (2) | 0.6621 (2) | $0 \cdot 283428$ (9) | 0.0322 |
| $\mathrm{O}(22)$ | $0 \cdot 1966$ (1) | 0.8811 (3) | 0.2152 (1) | 0.0414 |
| $\mathrm{O}(23)$ | 0.3341 (2) | 0.9660 (2) | $0 \cdot 30268$ (9) | 0.0335 |
| $\mathrm{O}(24)$ | 0.4100 (2) | 0.7151 (2) | 0.15576 (9) | 0.0345 |
| C(1) | 0.4359 (2) | 0.8172 (3) | 0.2085 (1) | 0.0253 |
| S(2) | 0.03286 (5) | 0.18315 (6) | 0.40650 (2) | 0.0187 |
| O(31) | 0.0604 (2) | 0.3592 (2) | 0.38721 (9) | 0.0316 |
| $\mathrm{O}(32)$ | 0.0263 (2) | 0.0599 (2) | 0.35535 (8) | 0.0323 |
| $\mathrm{O}(33)$ | 0.1176 (7) | 0.1259 (2) | 0.45788 (8) | 0.0323 |
| $\mathrm{O}(34)$ | -0.1286 (2) | 0.2974 (2) | 0.4867 (1) | 0.0435 |
| C(2) | -0.1217 (2) | 0.1903 (3) | 0.4355 (1) | 0.0307 |
| S(3) | 0.36072 (5) | 0.22567 (8) | 0.60975 (3) | 0.0222 |
| $\mathrm{O}(41)$ | 0.3723 (1) | 0.0973 (2) | $0 \cdot 55989$ (8) | 0.0266 |
| $\mathrm{O}(42)$ | 0.3637 (2) | 0.1438 (3) | 0.67084 (9) | 0.0378 |
| O(43) | 0.4469 (1) | 0.3672 (3) | 0.6044 (1) | 0.0520 |
| $\mathrm{O}(44)$ | 0.1859 (2) | 0.3793 (2) | 0.5403 (1) | 0.0477 |
| C(3) | 0.2058 (2) | $0 \cdot 3101$ (3) | 0.6003 (1) | 0.0334 |

are involved in hydrogen bonds to O atoms in adjacent molecules. This results in a complex threedimensional network of hydrogen bonding.

The structure of the aluminium salt contains six unique hydroxymethanesulfonate anions and three unique $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ cations (two of these are at centres of inversion), giving the formula $\left[\mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]$.$\left(\mathrm{HOCH}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)_{3}$. $\left(\mathrm{Al}_{1 / 2} \cdot \mathrm{Al}_{1 / 2}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\left(\mathrm{HOCH}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)_{3}$. As shown in Fig. 2, all three of the $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ ions are surrounded by a regular octahedron of O atoms from the six water molecules, with discrete anions packing around the cations. As with the magnesium salt there appears to be an extensive hydrogenbonding network. Table 5 lists the $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ contact distances, all of which are in the range $2 \cdot 53-2 \cdot 85 \AA$. From these distances each of the sulfonate O atoms appears to be hydrogen bonded to one water O atom and each of the hydroxyl O atoms is hydrogen bonded to one water O atom and also to another sulfonate group. Each water O atom also appears to be involved in at least two hydrogen bonds. The structure of the aluminium salt bears some similarity to the structure of the chloride (El Saffar \& Malcahy, 1971) and the nitrate (Herpin \& Sundarsanan, 1965) where the cation is surrounded by a regular octahedron of water molecules, but differs from the oxalate (Taylor, 1978) and acetylacetone (Hon \& Pfluger, 1973; McClelland, 1975) where the $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ ion is coordinated to the strongly chelating ligands.

The structures of the series of hydroxymethanesulfonate salts (Owen, 1982) from $\mathrm{Li}^{+}-\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$, $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}-\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ have now been completed. All of these salts were crystallized from an aqueous

Table 2. Atomic positional parameters and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters ( $\AA^{2}$ ) for (II) with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

Atom $W$ refers to the O atom of water ligands.

|  | $U_{\mathrm{eq}}=\left(U_{1} U_{2} U_{3}\right)^{1 / 3}$. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {eq }}$ |
| $\mathrm{Al}(1)$ | 0.5123 (7) | 0.2548 (3) | -0.0249 (5) | 0.0360 |
| $\mathrm{Al}(2)$ | $0 \cdot 5$ | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.0148 |
| $\mathrm{Al}(3)$ | $0 \cdot 0$ | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0260 |
| S(1) | 0.4321 (6) | $0 \cdot 1990$ (3) | 0.6201 (5) | 0.0390 |
| S(2) | 0.4223 (6) | 0.4389 (3) | 0.1417 (5) | 0.0379 |
| S(3) | 0.5928 (5) | 0.3131 (3) | 0.3392 (4) | 0.0286 |
| S(4) | 0.7814 (6) | 0.0973 (3) | 0.2743 (4) | 0.0335 |
| S(5) | 0.4181 (6) | -0.0508 (3) | 0.1451 (5) | 0.0364 |
| S(6) | 0.3164 (6) | 0.1497 (3) | 0.2573 (5) | 0.0377 |
| O(11) | 0.393 (2) | $0 \cdot 1396$ (10) | 0.628 (1) | 0.0520 |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)$ | 0.379 (2) | 0.2273 (8) | 0.537 (1) | 0.0396 |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)$ | 0.411 (2) | 0.2334 (9) | 0.703 (1) | 0.0298 |
| C(11) | 0.597 (2) | $0 \cdot 2982$ (14) | 0.610 (2) | 0.0373 |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)$ | 0.620 (2) | $0 \cdot 1695$ (10) | 0.521 (1) | 0.0441 |
| $\mathrm{O}(21)$ | 0.367 (2) | 0.4858 (7) | 0.079 (1) | 0.0313 |
| $\mathrm{O}(22)$ | 0.412 (2) | 0.4533 (8) | 0.239 (1) | 0.0452 |
| $\mathrm{O}(23)$ | 0.372 (1) | 0.3802 (7) | 0.115 (1) | 0.0115 |
| C(21) | 0.588 (2) | 0.4398 (13) | 0.120 (2) | 0.0208 |
| $\mathrm{O}(24)$ | 0.608 (2) | 0.4209 (7) | 0.030 (2) | 0.0361 |
| $\mathrm{O}(31)$ | 0.603 (2) | $0 \cdot 3007$ (10) | 0.242 (1) | 0.0510 |
| $\mathrm{O}(32)$ | $0 \cdot 644$ (2) | 0.3696 (9) | 0.363 (1) | 0.0458 |
| $\mathrm{O}(33)$ | 0.641 (2) | 0.2679 (9) | 0.399 (1) | 0.0452 |
| C(31) | 0.428 (3) | $0 \cdot 3174$ (14) | 0.360 (2) | 0.0322 |
| $\mathrm{O}(34)$ | 0.409 (1) | 0.3541 (8) | 0.453 (1) | 0.0318 |
| $\mathrm{O}(41)$ | 0.787 (2) | 0.0494 (9) | 0.208 (2) | 0.0451 |
| $\mathrm{O}(42)$ | 0.906 (2) | 0.1246 (10) | 0.291 (2) | 0.0636 |
| $\mathrm{O}(43)$ | 0.727 (2) | 0.0814 (10) | 0.357 (1) | 0.0511 |
| C(41) | 0.686 (2) | 0.1554 (12) | 0.227 (2) | 0.0187 |
| $\mathrm{O}(44)$ | 0.739 (2) | $0 \cdot 1766$ (8) | 0.149 (2) | 0.0452 |
| $\mathrm{O}(51)$ | 0.369 (1) | -0.1132 (7) | 0.144 (1) | 0.0231 |
| $\mathrm{O}(52)$ | $0 \cdot 412$ (2) | -0.0233 (11) | 0.237 (2) | 0.0111 |
| $\mathrm{O}(53)$ | 0.359 (2) | -0.0123 (8) | 0.072 (2) | 0.0424 |
| C(51) | 0.579 (2) | -0.0598 (14) | 0.119 (2) | 0.0320 |
| O(54) | 0.599 (2) | -0.0854 (8) | 0.036 (1) | 0.0465 |
| $\mathrm{O}(61)$ | 0.256 (2) | 0.2032 (9) | 0.288 (2) | 0.0556 |
| O(62) | 0.406 (2) | 0.1262 (9) | 0.323 (1) | 0.0424 |
| O(63) | 0.370 (2) | 0.1588 (9) | 0.165 (1) | 0.0482 |
| C(61) | $0 \cdot 194$ (3) | 0.0945 (10) | 0.247 (2) | 0.0319 |
| $\mathrm{O}(64)$ | $0 \cdot 103$ (1) | 0.1176 (9) | 0.182 (1) | 0.0257 |
| $W(11)$ | 0.550 (1) | 0.2585 (7) | -0.148 (1) | 0.0184 |
| $W(12)$ | 0.472 (1) | 0.2523 (8) | 0.103 (1) | 0.0344 |
| $W(13)$ | 0.381 (1) | 0.2019 (7) | -0.046 (1) | 0.0275 |
| $W(14)$ | 0.641 (1) | 0.3067 (7) | 0.004 (1) | 0.0289 |
| $W(15)$ | 0.616 (1) | 0.1887 (8) | -0.010 (1) | 0.0335 |
| $W(16)$ | 0.405 (1) | $0 \cdot 3219$ (8) | -0.040 (1) | 0.0336 |
| $W(21)$ | 0.530 (2) | 0.5003 (9) | 0.373 (1) | 0.0392 |
| $W(22)$ | 0.641 (1) | $0 \cdot 5509$ (9) | 0.525 (1) | 0.0354 |
| $W(23)$ | 0.607 (2) | 0.4339 (7) | 0.516 (1) | 0.0389 |
| $W(31)$ | -0.054 (2) | 0.5033 (8) | 0.123 (1) | 0.0367 |
| $W(32)$ | -0.101 (1) | 0.4321 (7) | -0.017 (1) | 0.0267 |
| $W(33)$ | -0.134 (2) | 0.5491 (8) | -0.039 (1) | 0.0348 |

Table 3. Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) for (I) and (II) with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

| (a) Compound (I) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Mg}(1)-\mathrm{O}(11)$ | $2.036(2)$ | $\mathrm{Mg}(1)-\mathrm{O}(31)^{*}$ | $2.054(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Mg}(1)-\mathrm{O}(12)$ | $2.106(2)$ | $\mathrm{Mg}(2)-\mathrm{O}(15)$ | $2.098(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Mg}(1-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $2.065(2)$ | $\mathrm{Mg}(2)-\mathrm{O}(16)$ | $2.048(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Mg}(1)-\mathrm{O}(14)$ | $2.046(2)$ | $\mathrm{Mg}(2)-\mathrm{O}(41)^{*}$ | $2.047(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Mg}(1)-\mathrm{O}(21)^{*}$ | $2.041(2)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $(b)$ Compound (II) $\dagger$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Al}(1)-W(11)$ | $1.835(15)$ | $\mathrm{Al}(2)-W(21)$ | $1.87(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Al}(1)-W(12)$ | $1.91(2)$ | $\mathrm{Al}(2)-W(22)$ | $1.935(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{Al}(1)-W(13)$ | $1.87(2)$ | $\mathrm{Al}(2)-W(23)$ | $1.89(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Al}(1)-W(14)$ | $1.86(2)$ | $\mathrm{Al}(3)-W(31)$ | $1.887(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{Al}(1)-W(15)$ | $1.88(2)$ | $\mathrm{Al}(3)-W(32)$ | $1.893(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{Al}(1)-W(16)$ | $1.91(2)$ | $\mathrm{Al}(3)-W(33)$ | $1.897(15)$ |

[^1]medium, but only the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ salts described above are heavily hydrated. Both forms of the $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ salt and the $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}, \mathrm{Sr}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$ salts contain only a single water molecule in the asymmetric unit. The remaining salts of this series are anhydrous. Clearly, then, the structures of the $\mathbf{M g}^{\mathbf{2 +}}$ and $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ salts fall in a separate category from the others and are themselves structurally similar.

In biological systems, replacement of essential metals in complexes by other foreign metals can seriously affect the function of that complex in the


Fig. 1. The two independent molecules of (I). $\mathbf{M g}(2)$ is on a centre of inversion.


Fig. 2. The three independent cations and six independent anions of the asymmetric unit of (II). $\mathrm{Al}(2)$ and $\mathrm{Al}(3)$ are on centres of inversion.

Table 4. Hydrogen-bonding $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ contact distances ( $\AA$ ) for (I)

The $\mathbf{H}$ atoms are numbered so that the first two digits correspond to the numbering of the O atoms to which they are bonded, e.g. $\mathrm{O}(11)$ is bonded to $\mathrm{H}(111)$ and $\mathrm{H}(112)$.

| Contact | Distance | Symmetry position of O atom |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}(111) \cdots \mathrm{O}(22)$ | 1.91 | $-x$, | $\frac{1}{2}+y$, | $\frac{1}{2}-2$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(112) \cdots \mathrm{O}(32)$ | $2 \cdot 31$ | $-x$, | $-\frac{1}{2}+y^{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}-z$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(121) \cdots \mathrm{O}(43)$ | 1.96 | $1-x$, | $1-y$, | 2 |
| $\mathrm{H}(131) \cdots \mathrm{O}(23)$ | $2 \cdot 10$ | $x$, | $1+y$, | $z$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(132) \cdots \mathrm{O}(42)$ | 1.99 | $x$, | $\frac{1}{2}-y$, | $\frac{1}{2}+z$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(141) \cdots \mathrm{O}(32)$ | 1.94 | $x$, | $-1+y$, | $z$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(151) \cdots \mathrm{O}(24)$ | 1.80 | $1-x$, | $\frac{1}{2}+y$, | $\frac{1}{2}-z$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(152) \cdots \mathrm{O}(34)$ | 2.49 | $-1+x$, | $y$, | $z$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(161) \cdots \mathrm{O}(33)$ | 2.01 | $x$, | $y$, | $z$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(162) \cdots \mathrm{O}(23)$ | $2 \cdot 10$ | $x$, | $1+y$, | $z$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(24) \cdots \mathrm{O}(42)$ | 1.96 | $x$, | $\frac{1}{2}-y$, | $\frac{1}{2}+z$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(34) \cdots \mathrm{O}(44)$ | 1.82 | $-x$, | $1-y$, | $1-z$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(44) \cdots \mathrm{O}(33)$ | 1.83 | $\boldsymbol{x}$, | $y$, | 2 |

Table 5. Hydrogen-bonding $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ contact distances ( $\AA$ ) for (II)

Atom $W$ refers to the O atom of water ligands.

| Contact | Distance | Symmetry position of second O atom |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(11) \cdots W(32)$ | $2 \cdot 65$ | $\frac{1}{2}+x$, | $\frac{1}{2}+y$, | $\frac{1}{2}+z$ |
| $O(12) \cdots W(14)$ | 2.71 | $-\frac{1}{2}+x$, | $\frac{1}{2}-y$, | $\frac{1}{2}+z$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(12) \cdots \mathrm{O}(34)$ | 2.72 | $\boldsymbol{x}$, | $y$, | $z$ |
| O(13) $\cdots W(11)$ | 2.65 | $x$, | $y$, | $1+z$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(13) \cdots \mathrm{O}(44)$ | 2.85 | $-\frac{1}{2}+x$, | $\frac{1}{2}-y$, | $\frac{1}{2}+z$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(14) \cdots W(33)$ | 2.73 | $\frac{1}{2}-x$, | $-\frac{1}{2}+y$, | $\frac{1}{2}-z$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(14) \cdots \mathrm{O}(33)$ | 2.85 | $\boldsymbol{x}$, | $y$, | $z$ |
| $O(21) \cdots W(33)$ | 2.69 | $-x$, | $1-y$, | $z$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(21) \cdots \mathrm{O}(24)$ | $2 \cdot 64$ | $1-x$, | $1-y$, | - |
| $\mathrm{O}(22) \cdots W(21)$ | 2.53 | $x$, | $y$, | $z$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(23) \cdots W(16)$ | $2 \cdot 63$ | $x$, | $y$, | $z$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(24) \cdots W(14)$ | $2 \cdot 62$ | $x$, | $y$, | $z$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(31) \cdots W(12)$ | $2 \cdot 65$ | $x$, | $y$, | $z$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(32) \cdots W(23)$ | 2.69 | $x$, | $y$, | $z$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(33) \cdots W(13)$ | 2.79 | $\frac{1}{2}+x$, | $\frac{1}{2}-y$, | $\frac{1}{2}+z$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(34) \cdots W(22)$ | $2 \cdot 67$ | $1-x$, | $1-y$, | 1-z |
| $\mathrm{O}(41) \cdots W(21)$ | 2.57 | $1 \frac{1}{2}-x$, | $-\frac{1}{2}+y$, | $\frac{1}{2}-z$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(42) \cdots W(16)$ | 2.72 | $\frac{1}{2}+x$, | $\frac{1}{2}-y$, | $\frac{1}{2}+z$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(42) \cdots \mathrm{O}(64)$ | $2 \cdot 69$ | $1+x$, | $y$, | $z$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(43) \cdots W(31)$ | $2 \cdot 59$ | $\frac{1}{2}-x$, | $-\frac{1}{2}+y$, | $\frac{1}{2}-z$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(44) \cdots W(15)$ | $2 \cdot 63$ | $x$, | $\boldsymbol{y}$, | $z$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(51) \cdots W(15)$ | $2 \cdot 58$ | $1-x$, | $-y$, | - |
| $\mathrm{O}(52) \cdots W(31)$ | $2 \cdot 58$ | $\frac{1}{1}-x$, | $-\frac{1}{2}+y$, | $\frac{1}{2}-z$ |
| $O(53) \cdots W(22)$ | $2 \cdot 59$ | $-\frac{1}{2}+x$, | $\frac{1}{2}-y$, | $-\frac{1}{2}+2$ |
| $O(53) \cdots O(54)$ | 2.74 | $1-x$, | $-y$, | $z$ |
| $O(54) \cdots W(13)$ | $2 \cdot 63$ | $1-x$, | $-y$, | -z |
| $\mathrm{O}(61) \cdots W(11)$ | 2.59 | $-\frac{1}{2}+x$, | $\frac{1}{2}-y$, | $\frac{1}{2}+z$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(62) \cdots W(32)$ | $2 \cdot 66$ | $\frac{1}{2}+x$, | $\frac{1}{2}-y$, | $\frac{1}{2}+z$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(63) \cdots W(12)$ | 2.55 | $x$, | $y$, | $z$ |
| $O(64) \cdots W(23)$ | 2.66 | $-\frac{1}{2}+x$, | $\frac{1}{2}-y$, | $-\frac{1}{2}+z$ |

organism. The above results suggest that $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ may be able to replace $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ in some aqueous organic ligand systems without too much disruption of the structure.
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#### Abstract

Ag}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)_{2}\right] .2 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3},\left[\mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)-\right.\) $\left.\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)\right]_{2} .2 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, M_{r}=1347 \cdot 2$, triclinic, $P \overline{1}$, $a=11 \cdot 232(1), b=12 \cdot 085(1), c=10 \cdot 844$ (1) $\AA, \alpha=$ $98.81(1), \quad \beta=100.06(1), \quad \gamma=88.19(1)^{\circ}, \quad V=$ 1432 (1) $\AA^{3}, D_{x}=1.562 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ for $Z=1$ (dimer), Mo $K \bar{\alpha}$ radiation, $\lambda=0.7107 \AA, \mu=1.065 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$, $F(000)=676, \quad T=295(2) \mathrm{K}, \quad R=0.028$ for 5133 observed reflections. The title compound exists as a centrosymmetric dimer in which two diphosphine ligands bridge two Ag atoms thereby forming an eight-membered ring. The ring adopts a staggered conformation with significant bending at the Ag atoms; $\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{P} 2.452$ (1) and 2.422 (1) $\AA, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{P}$ $149.4(1)^{\circ}$. The two nitrate anions each weakly chelate an Ag atom with $\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{O}$ bond distances of 2.659 (3) and 2.652 (3) $\AA$ so that the Ag atoms are tetracoordinated.


Introduction. There are several studies in the literature concerning the interaction of silver(I) salts with the diphosphine bis(diphenylphosphine)methane (hereafter dppm) (Dean, Vittal \& Srivastava, 1987; Obendorf, Probst, Peringer, Falk \& Müller, 1988). Crystal structures are available for the $1: 1$ (i.e. $1 \mathrm{Ag}: 1 \mathrm{dppm})$ complexes $\left[\mathrm{Ag}_{2}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\left.(\mathrm{dppm})_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}\right],\left[\mathrm{Ag}_{4}(\mathrm{dppm})_{4}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{2}(\mathrm{Ho} \&$ Bau, 1983) and $\left[\mathrm{Ag}_{3}(\mathrm{dppm})_{3} \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right] \mathrm{Br}$ (Schubert, Neugebauer \& Aly, 1980). A recent report of the chloro analogue of the latter compound has also appeared, namely $\left[\mathrm{Ag}_{3}(\mathrm{dppm})_{3} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{Sn}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right]$ (Franzoni, Pelizzi, Predieri, Tarasconi, Vitali \& Pelizzi, 1989). In the dinuclear structure of $\left[\mathrm{Ag}_{2}(\mathrm{dppm})_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$, the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{P}$ angles were
found to be non-linear $\left[138.3(1)^{\circ}\right]$ such that the eight-membered ring adopted the unusual conformation in which the two methylene C atoms were eclipsed (Ho \& Bau, 1983). In the tetranuclear species $\left[\mathrm{Ag}_{4}(\mathrm{dppm})_{4}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{2}$, which arises as a result of bridging nitrate groups, the two $\left[\mathrm{Ag}_{2}(\mathrm{dppm})_{2}\right]$ units were also found to adopt the less usual conformation (Ho \& Bau, 1983). A third structural type is found in the trinuclear cations $\left[\mathrm{Ag}_{3}(\mathrm{dppm})_{3} \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{+}$and $\left[\mathrm{Ag}_{3}(\mathrm{dppm})_{3} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]^{+}$in which each edge of a triangle of Ag atoms is bridged by a dppm ligand; the two coordinated halide atoms each cap a triangular face leading to a coordination polyhedron based on a trigonal bipyramid (Schubert et al., 1980; Franzoni et al., 1989). This communication reports the crystallographic characterization of a second isomer of $\left[\mathrm{Ag}_{2}(\mathrm{dppm})_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ isolated as its chloroform solvate in which the $\left[\mathrm{Ag}_{2}(\mathrm{dppm})_{2}\right]$ units are found to adopt the more usual staggered conformation.

Experimental. The title compound was prepared by mixing equimolar quantities of $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ (Johnson Matthey) and dppm (Strem) in aqueous ethanol. The resultant precipitate was recrystallized from a chloroform/373-393 K petroleum spirit solution at room temperature. Crystals were obtained as a $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ solvate and were found to be stable to light but decomposed on exposure to air presumably as a result of solvent loss. Enraf-Nonius CAD-4F diffractometer controlled by a PDP8/A computer, graphite-monochromated Mo $K \bar{\alpha}$ radiation; $\omega: 2 \theta$ scan technique. Cell parameters on crystal $0.34 \times$

[^2]
[^0]:    * Lists of anisotropic thermal parameters, H-atom positions, interatomic distances, bond angles and structure factors have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 52217 ( 43 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

[^1]:    * O atom from hydroxymethanesulfonate ligand.
    $\dagger$ Atom $W$ refers to the O atom of water ligands.
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